

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/070,758	03/12/2002	Helmut Witteler	50733	2769	
26474	7590 05/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			FUBARA, BI	FUBARA, BLESSING M	
	FON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1615		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200-	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/070,758	WITTELER ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
•	Blessing M. Fubara	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applid) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicat	to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened states.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 36(a) and the appropriate e I fee. The appropriate exten	MPEP xtension fee sion fee under				
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, ma	ay reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sin	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	5.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(e):						
	· · · ——	enarate timely filed a	amendment				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	Ja.	JAMES M. SPEA PRIMARY EXAMIN	Dear R ER				
		Au 1615					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/070,758

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants failed to calim a K-value that is categorically linked with a specific concentration of any PVP-solution. The prior art discloses the claimed process. In the prior art, 10-60% PVP solution having a K value in the range 8-50 is mixed with iodine and applicants statement that the concentration c is larger than the value resulting from the calculation $100 \times [0.1 + 8: (K + 5)]$ is relative. How much is larger? No specific K is claimed and no specific concentration c is claimed.

James M. Spear JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

A4 1615